Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/655,583	KLAGHOFER, KARL
Examiner	Art Unit
Stella L. Woo	2614

	Otolia E. Woo	2011		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Stella L. Woo.	(3) Richard A. Gollhofer.			
(2) <u>Sheetal Patel</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 24 January 2008.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .	·			
Identification of prior art discussed: WO 99/14932.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It was agreed that the claims distinguish over the applied prior art reference.</u> However, it was argued that claim 1 can be met by WO 99/14932, see page 19, lines 27-29.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP YDAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS SLATER, TO	
	÷			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required